Original Research

Open Access

Caregiver Stress between Carers of Dementia Patients

Nora A. Mossad^{1,2}, Sarah A. Hamza¹, Hoda M. F. Wahba¹, Heba Y. Youssif¹ and Mohammad F. Tolba^{1, 2}

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Care-giving of dementia patients can be stressful, especially when a patient has significant behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD), causing high levels of stress and burnout.

Objective: To study prevalence and risk factors of caregiver stress between caregivers of dementia patients.

Methods: Ninety-seven (97) elderly subjects were collected from geriatrics memory clinic and ward at Geriatrics Hospital, ASUH located at Cairo, Egypt. Dementia was diagnosed by Minimental state exam (MMSE). Patients' data were obtained. Relevant clinical events, including frequency of BPSD episodes in the last 2 months before enrollment in the study, were recorded. Caregiver stress among carers was checked by Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI-A).

Results: Our results showed high prevalence of caregiver stress among studied caregivers; with 27% reporting mild to moderate burden and 68% reporting high burden. Among studied parameters, ZBI-A score was positively correlated with patient age, caregiver age, frequency of delirium episodes, frequency of BPSD episodes, and frequency of home visits emergency room (ER) visits, and hospital admissions. In contrast, ZBI-A score was negatively correlated with MMSE score.

Conclusion: Caregiver stress is a significant concern for individuals caring elderly with cognitive impairment; and it is predisposed by a complex interaction of patient aspects, disease factors, and caregiver characteristics. The severity of the disease, the frequency of BPSD, and the carer's own health all play crucial roles in determining the level of stress the caregiver faces.

Keywords: Dementia, Caregiver stress, Caregiving burden, Elderly.

¹Department of Geriatrics and Gerontology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

²Geriatric Palliative Care Unit, Ain Shams University Hospitals

Introduction

Dementia is the seventh major causes of death in the elderly population; it not only affects individuals but also has profound impacts on families, healthcare systems, and societies [1]. Total care of dementia in the entire Arabic countries approaches 13.9 billion dollars [2].

Dementia is strongly age-associated, and the prevalence increases significantly between older adults, especially elderly aged 80 and above. Research has shown that individuals over 80 are at a much higher risk of dementia, particularly Alzheimer's disease. Also, its incidence was more between illiterate sets than between educated sets [3]. Dementia cases in Egypt during 2019 were 305675 and are expected to reach 1530167 in 2050 [4].

Caregivers are anticipated to have significant stress when they do not have enough health education [5]. Taking care of a loved one complaining of cognitive troubles is one of the most challenging caregiving situations, marked by chronic stress, emotional strain, and physical exhaustion. The nature of dementia, with its progressive deterioration and gradual loss of cognitive and functional abilities, makes caregiving particularly stressful and demanding. [6].

Caregivers with pre-existing health conditions face multiple challenges in managing both their own health and the needs of the elderly they are caring for. Without proper support, this dual burden causes worsening health for the carer and an increased risk of caregiver burnout [7].

As elderly demented subjects grow—driven largely by aging populations—so too does the pressure on family caregiver and informal caregivers to offer long-standing

care. This burden may have serious implications for caregivers' mental, emotional, and physical health, often leading to stress, depression, and other health problems [8].

Up to now, no cure for most common dementias exist [9]. But, many plans have been made to apply valuable care models for dementia. Dealing with bothering behaviors, teaching of carers, and adjustment approaches help improving patient/carer life [10].

Efforts to design tools to assess burden of care were initiated starting more than 40 years ago [11]. Tools, at that time, were not self-performed forms. That was modified by Zarit et al. [12]. Their tool was widely utilized since then, being most famous in this field of studying care burden [13].

Caring of elderly with troubling behaviors at home or in facilities needs approaching both the individual and the carer, including determining which behaviors are present, preparing intervention needed, and monitoring for success [14].

The aim of current work is to elucidate prevalence of caregiver stress in carers of dementia patients; and to explore the risk factors associated with caregiver stress in this particular category.

Methods

Current study was a cross-sectional study of elderly patients, aged 60 years and above, of both sexes, and their caregivers. Cases were clinically confirmed to have dementia. They were collected from the inpatient ward and outpatient clinics of the Geriatrics Hospital, one of the Ain Shams University Hospitals (ASUH), located at Cairo.

The study were revised and accepted by the ethical committee- Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. PASS11 program was utilized for sample size determination; with 10% margin of error and at 95% confidence level. A sample size of 97 participants was requested.

We screened all attending patients for eligibility criteria. Each eligible patient who (and/or his/her caregiver) was willing to share in the study was included; until a sample size of 97 patients and their caregivers was completed. For participating caregivers, a minimum period of caregiving was required to be at least 2 months.

We excluded patients with: critical illness, any problem interfered with initial assessment (e.g., delirium), and patients who couldn't be interviewed face to face at the first clinic visit.

Each patient underwent:

- (1) Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA):
- Complete demographic data (e.g. age, gender) and detailed past medical history and physical examination.
- MMSE [15] for evaluation of cognition [16]. All patients scored less than the age/education-specific cutoff score for dementia diagnosis.
- (2) Detailed inquiry as regards the following events in the last 2 months before enrollment: episodes of delirium, BPSD irritability, (anxiety, delusions. hallucinations, agitation/aggression, disinhibition. abnormal motor activity/wandering, or night-time behavioral disturbances), the frequency of clinic visits, home visits, emergency (ER) hospital room visits. and admissions.

For caregivers:

- Socio-demographics were obtained regards to education, age, type of caregiver.
- Assessment of caregiver stress using Arabic Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI-A) [17]. It is a 12-item useful screening tool (0 to 4 points per item, giving a total score of 0-48). Total scoring is classified as follows: 0-10: no to mild burden, 11-20: mild to moderate burden and >20: high burden.

Ethical Considerations:

The methodology of the study received approval from the ethical committee-Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University (Approval Code: MD 19/2021). No one has the right to read patient's medical information except the researchers.

Statistical Analysis:

Convenient statistical methods were used to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics for quantitative data were mean \pm SD, as well as frequency and percentages for qualitative data. Comparisons were analyzed by appropriate statistical tools. The data were collected, reviewed, coded, and tabulated using SPSS 23.0 (2015). A P-value < 0.05 was deemed significant.

Results:

Table (1a): study patients were predominantly males (62.8%), mean age was 74 years, 45% were illiterate. All dementia stages were represented. Mean MMSE score was 16.7 points. Regards caregivers, as shown in table (1b), 64.9% were females, they had a mean age of 37 years, most of them were educated (secondary/university education). Of note, 86.6% were family caregivers.

Table (2a) shows high prevalence of caregiver stress among studied caregivers; with 27.8% reporting mild to moderate level of burden and 68% reporting high burden. As regards the individual questions, as shown in table (2b), caregivers reported variable responses. The three most prevalent "Nearly always" responses were to the eighth question (social life suffering), the eleventh question (feeling he/she should be doing more for his/her relative), and the twelfth question (feeling he/she could do better in patient care).

Univariate analysis showed that ZBI-A score was positively correlated with patient age, frequency of delirium episodes, frequency of BPSD episodes, and frequency of home consultations, ER visits, and hospital admissions in the last 2 months. It was also positively correlated with caregiver age. In contrast, ZBI-A score was negatively correlated with MMSE score. This is illustrated in table (3).

Table (4) shows that more advanced dementia stage was associated with higher caregiver stress scores.

Regression analysis model for risk factors associated with caregiver stress is shown in table (5). Lower MMSE score, increased frequency of BPSD episodes in the last 2 months and older caregiver age were independently associated with caregiver stress as measured by Zarit Burden Interview-Arabic.

Discussion:

Caring for a demented subject can lead to significant burden and stress, especially with disease progression. In our study, results showed that "mild to moderate" burden occurred in 27.8% of carers and "high burden" in additional 68% of carers; giving a total prevalence of 95.8%. These figures

represent frequent caregiver stress in the carers of studied patients.

Globally, more than 80% of caregivers of Alzheimer disease patients stated that they commonly develop high level of stress; and around 50% reported that they suffered from depression [18].

Previous studies in caregivers of the elderly individuals reported variable figures for the prevalence of caregiver stress and burden. For instance, Nasreen and colleagues studied the caregiver burden of relatives of dementia subjects living in Malaysia. In their work, prevalence of caregiver's burden approached 70%. Depression was reported in 32.2% of caregivers. Family caregivers who perceived support (e.g., social or family support) experienced fewer burdens and less depression [19].

In another recent study regards older patients in the emergency room, caregiver burden affected around 40% of the caregivers who reported a high burden [20].

Some caregivers are more susceptible to suffer from caregiving burden in comparison to others. Current study illustrates that ZBI-A score was positively correlated with patient age, frequency of delirium episodes, frequency of BPSD episodes, and frequency of home consultations, ER visits, and hospital admissions in the last 2 months before inclusion. It also had a positive correlation with caregiver age.

Increased caregiver stress scores were associated with more advanced dementia stage in the patient (i.e., carers of patients with severe dementia had higher mean ZBI-A score). ZBI-A of studied carers had a negative correlation with MMSE scores of studied patients.

Regression analysis was performed to explore the risk factors related to caregiver stress. Lower MMSE score, more frequent

BPSD episodes in the last 2 months and older caregiver age were independently associated with caregiver stress; as measured by ZBI-A.

In the work done by Zaalberg et al., caregivers suffering from high burden, compared to those experienced low burden, were frequently caring of patients with dementia [20].

Previous studies reported on different factors possibly associated with caregiver stress. Among these factors, both the frequency of BPSD and the age of carers were reported to contribute to caregiver stress. The ZBI effectively highlights these relationships, emphasizing the need for targeted support for older caregivers dealing with patients with frequent BPSD episodes [19].

Dementia-related psychotic features, which include hallucinations and delusions, contribute to caregiver burden and may precipitate institutionalization [21].

Similar to our findings, caregivers with more advanced age were found to experience more burden related to caregiving, compared to their younger counterparts [22]. Various studies had found that carer's age influenced his/her burden; advanced carer's age led to increased level of burden [23].

Dementia stage is another important factor. We found that carers of patients with severe dementia had higher mean ZBI-A score. This finding was expected, as agitation occurs more in patients with later stages of dementia [24]. Similarly, psychotic features are prevalent in in later stages of the disease [25].

Shim and colleagues, in their 1-year followup study, evaluated the factors related to caregiver burden in dementia. They concluded that caregiver burden, and subsequent neglect, can negatively affect the outcome/progression of dementia in the patient, and increases the likelihood that the patient will need care services and facilities [26]. In our study, this was demonstrated by the finding of higher frequency of delirium, BPSD, and the number of domiciliary consultations, visiting the ER, and admissions in patients whose caregivers had higher caregiver stress scores.

The educational level of the caregiver was found to have a negative correlation with the burden; one explanation is that the higher educational level led to high income which would alleviate the financial burden, as demonstrated by a study of caregivers of people suffering from dementia [27]. Another explanation is that the high educational level of caregiver could indicate more accessibility to knowledge about dealing with burden/stress [28]. In our cohort, no significant association was found between educational level and caregiver stress, as we included a few number of illiterate caregivers.

Applying psycho-educational interventions in this population can significantly benefit caregivers. These interventions can help caregivers better understand the nature/course of the disease, who to deal with dementia symptoms, and when to ask for support. All of these can improve both patient and caregiver's outcomes [19].

Conclusion:

Caregiver stress is a significant concern for individuals caring for dementia patients, and it is affected by a complex interaction of patient factors, disease factors, and caregiver characteristics. The disease severity, the presence of BPSD, and carer's own health all play crucial roles in determining the degree of stress perceived by the caregiver.

Addressing these factors through education, respite care, psychological support, and self-care can help reduce caregiver burden and enhance patient's care.

Conflicts of interest: None.

Study limitations: Inclusion of caregivers having different living situations, educational levels, residence areas, and social supports would help to study more risk factors related to caregivers that may be associated with caregiver stress.

References:

- 1. World health organization (2023). National site. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from: http://www.who.int/. Accessed on 1 October 2024.
- 2. Qassem, T., Itani, L., Nasr, W., Al-Ayyat, D., Javaid, S. F., & Al-Sinawi, H. (2023). Prevalence and economic burden of dementia in the Arab world. BJ Psych Open, 9(4): e126.
- 3. Elshahidi, M. H., Elhadidi, M. A., Sharaqi, A. A., Mostafa, A., & Elzhery, M. A. (2017). Prevalence of dementia in Egypt: a systematic review. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 13, 715.
- 4. GBD 2019 Dementia Forecasting Collaborators. Estimation of the global prevalence of dementia in 2019 and forecasted prevalence in 2050: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (2022). Lancet Public Health; 7(2):105-125.
- 5. Beach, S.R., Schulz, R., Yee, J.L. & Jackson, S. (2000). Negative and positive health effects of caring for a disabled spouse: Longitudinal findings from the caregiver health effects study. Psychology and Aging, 15, 259–271.

- 6. Roth, D., Fredman, L., & Haley, W. (2015). Informal caregiving and its impact on health: a reappraisal from population-based studies. Gerontologist, 55, 309–319.
- 7. Harmell, A.L., Chattillion, E.A., Roepke, S.K. & Mausbach, B.T. (2011). A review of the psychobiology of dementia caregiving: A focus on resilience factors. Current Psychiatry Reports, 13, 219–224.
- 8. Tu JY, Jin G, Chen JH, Chen YC. Caregiver Burden and Dementia: A Systematic Review of Self-Report Instruments (2022). J Alzheimers Dis.; 86(4):1527-1543.
- Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S.,... & Cohen-Mansfield, J. (2020). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. Lancet, 396, 413–446.
- 10. Akintola, A. A., Achterberg, W. P., & Caljouw, M. A. A. (2019). Non-pharmacological interventions for improving the quality of life of long-term care residents with dementia: A scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 9, e032661.
- 11. Montgomery, R. J., Gonyea, J. G., & Hooyman, N. R. (1985). Caregiving and the experience of subjective and objective burden. Family relations, 19-26.
- 12. Zarit, S.H., Reever, K.E. & Bach-Peterson, J. (1980). Relatives of the Impaired Elderly: Correlates of Feelings of Burden. Gerontologist; 20(6):649–655.
- 13. Bedard, M., Molloy, D.W. & Squire, L. (2001). The Zarit Burden Interview: a new short version and screening version. Gerontologist; 41(5):652–657.
- 14. Joseph, J. W., Kennedy, M., Nathanson, L. A. (2020): Reducing emergency department transfers from skilled

- nursing facilities through an emergency physician telemedicine service. West J Emerg Med, 21, 205–209.
- 15. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975). Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of psychiatric research; 12(3):189-198.
- 16. El Okl MA, El Banouby MH, El Etrebi A (2002). Prevalence of Alzheimer dementia and other causes of dementia in Egyptian elderly. MD thesis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University library.
- 17. Bachner, Y. G. (2013). Preliminary assessment of the psychometric properties of the abridged Arabic version of the Zarit Burden Interview among caregivers of cancer patients. European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 17(5): 657–660.
- 18. Alzheimer's Association (2006). National site. Retrieved August 3, 2006, from: http://www.alz.org/. Accessed on 12 November 2023.
- 19. Nasreen HE, Tyrrell M, Vikström S, Craftman Å, Syed Ahmad SAB, Zin NM, Aziz KHA, Mohd Tohit NB, Md Aris MA, Kabir ZN (2024). Caregiver burden, mental health, quality of life and self-efficacy of family caregivers of persons with dementia in Malaysia: baseline results of a psychoeducational intervention study. BMC Geriatr.; 24(1):656.
- 20. Zaalberg, T., Barten, D. G., Van Heugten, C. M., Klijnsma, P., Knarren, L., Hiemstra, Y., Kurvers, R. A., Lekx, A. W., & Mooijaart, S. P., Janssen-Heijnen M. (2023). Prevalence and risk factors of burden among caregivers of older emergency department patients. Sci Rep., 13(1): 7250.
- 21. Aarsland, D. (2020). Epidemiology and Pathophysiology of Dementia-Related

- Psychosis. J Clin Psychiatry; 15; 81(5):AD19038BR1C.
- 22. Serrano-Aguilar, P. G., Lopez-Bastida, J., & Yanes-Lopez, V. (2006). Impact on health-related quality of life and perceived burden of informal caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease. Neuroepidemiology, 27(3): 136-142.
- 23. Chan, S., Yip, B., Tso, S., Cheng, B. & Tam, W. (2009). Evaluation of a psychoeducation program for Chinese clients with schizophrenia and their family caregivers. Patient Education and Counseling, 75, 67-76.
- 24. Bergh, S., Engedal, K., Roen, I., & Selbaek, G. (2011). The course of neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with dementia in Norwegian nursing homes. Int Psychogeriatr, 23, 1231–1239.
- 25. Bassiony, M.M. & Lyketsos, C.G. (2003). Delusions and hallucinations in Alzheimer's Disease: review of the brain decade. Psychosomatics; 44:388–401.
- 26. Shim, S. H., Kang, H. S., Kim, J. H., & Kim, D. K. (2016). Factors associated with caregiver burden in dementia: 1-year follow-up study. Psychiatry investigation, 13(1): 43.
- 27. Andern, S. & Elmstahl, S. (2007). Relationship between income, subjective health, and caregiver burden in caregivers of people with dementia in group living care: A cross sectional community based study. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 44, 435-446.
- 28. Juvang, L., Lambert, C. & Lambert, V. (2007). Predictors of family caregiver's burden and quality of life when providing care for a family member with schizophrenia in the people's republic of China. Nursing and Health Science, 9, 192-198.

Table (1): Baseline data

Table (1a): Patients' characteristics

N=97	N	%		
Gender	Male	61	62.89	
	Female	36	37.11	
	Illiterate	44	45.36	
	Primary	8	8.25	
Education	Preparatory	10	10.31	
	Secondary	23	23.71	
	University	12	12.37	
	Widow	48	49.48	
Marital state	Married	46	47.42	
	Divorced	3	3.09	
	Current	19	19.59	
Smoking	Ex-Smoker	28	28.87	
	Non-Smoker	50	51.55	
	Mild	42	43.30	
Dementia stage	Moderate	40	41.24	
	Severe	15	15.46	
Age	Range	61-88		
Age	Mean ±SD	74.082±7.369		
MMSE score	Range	5-25		
WINDE SCOLE	Mean ±SD	16.773±5.610		
Frequency of delirium*	Range	0-6		
1 requency of deni din	Mean ±SD	0.814±1.093		
Frequency of BPSD*	Range		-6	
rrequency of Brob	Mean ±SD	0.907±1.225		
Frequency of clinic visits*	Range	0-5		
Trequency of chine visits	Mean ±SD	1.825±1.362		
Frequency of home consultations*	Range	0-3		
quency or nome combinations	Mean ±SD	0.371 ± 0.870		
Frequency of ER visits*	Range	0-3		
110400000	Mean ±SD	0.835±0.921		
Frequency of hospital admissions*	Range	0-3		
requestey or mospitum aumissions	Mean ±SD	0.320 ± 0.550		

ER= emergency room, *Clinical events: recorded in the last 2 months before enrollment in the study.

Table (1b): Caregivers' characteristics

N=97	N	%	
C 1	Male	34	35
Gender	Female	63	65
Ago	Range	22-67	
Age	Mean ±SD	37.299	±8.641
Education	Illiterate	3	3.09
	Preparatory	1	1.03
	Secondary	51	52.58
	University	42	43.30
Tymo	Family	84	86.60
Туре	Paid	13	13.40

Table (2): Assessment of caregiver stress among studied caregivers

Table (2a): Final score

Zarit Burden Interview	N	%
No to mild burden (Score 0-10)	4	4.12
Mild to moderate burden (Score 11-20)	27	27.84
High burden (Score >20)	66 68.04	
Range	3-48	
Mean ±SD	26.990±11.021	

Table (2b): Responses to individual questions of Zarit Burden Interview

Question	Response	N	%
	Never	6	6.19
	Rarely	27	27.84
1. Not having time for yourself	Sometimes	34	35.05
	Frequently	22	22.68
	Nearly always	8	8.25
	Never	4	4.12
	Rarely	22	22.68
2. Feeling stressed	Sometimes	37	38.14
	Frequently	22	22.68
	Nearly always	12	12.37
	Never	4	4.12
	Rarely	32	32.99
3. Feeling angry	Sometimes	31	31.96
	Frequently	20	20.62
	Nearly always	10	10.31
	Never	6	6.19
4. Affection of relationship with others	Rarely	28	28.87
	Sometimes	35	36.08
	Frequently	18	18.56
	Nearly always	10	10.31

Nora A. Mossad et al., EJGG.2024; 11(2): 56-68

	Never	2	2.06
	Rarely	24	24.74
5. Feeling stressed	Sometimes	35	36.08
Ü	Frequently	21	21.65
	Nearly always	15	15.46
	Never	3	3.09
	Rarely	25	25.77
6. Feeling that your health has suffered	Sometimes	31	31.96
	Frequently	25	25.77
	Nearly always	13	13.40
	Never	5	5.15
	Rarely	26	26.80
7. Not having as much privacy	Sometimes	28	28.87
	Frequently	20	20.62
	Nearly always	18	18.56
	Never	3	3.09
	Rarely	25	25.77
8. Feeling that your social life has suffered	Sometimes	30	30.93
	Frequently	20	20.62
	Nearly always	19	19.59
	Never	1	1.03
	Rarely	23	23.71
9. Loss of life control	Sometimes	37	38.14
	Frequently	20	20.62
	Nearly always	16	16.49
	Never	1	1.03
	Rarely	27	27.84
10. Feeling uncertain about what to do	Sometimes	31	31.96
	Frequently	22	22.68
	Nearly always	16	16.49
	Never	1	1.03
44 75 11	Rarely	17	17.53
11. Feeling you should do more	Sometimes	25	25.77
	Frequently	26	26.80
	Nearly always	28	28.87
	Never	1	1.03
12 E. P	Rarely	14	14.43
12. Feeling you could do better in patient care	Sometimes	25	25.77
	Frequently	29	29.90
	Nearly always	28	28.87

Table (3): Correlation between ZBI-A score and study variables

Variable	r	P-value
Patient age	0.438	<0.001*
MMSE score	-0.703	<0.001*
Frequency of delirium episodes	0.581	<0.001*
Frequency of BPSD episodes	0.443	<0.001*
Frequency of clinic visits	-0.079	0.440
Frequency of home consultations	0.442	<0.001*
Frequency of ER visits	0.525	<0.001*
Frequency of hospital admissions	0.498	<0.001*
Caregiver age	0.282	0.005*

ER= emergency room.

Table (4): Factors related to caregiver stress among studied caregivers

Male				ZBI-A score		T-Test or ANOVA		
Patient gender Female 36 25.417 ± 10.388 1.081 0.283			N	Mean	±	SD	T or F	P-value
Patient education	Dationt and an	Male	61	27.918	±	11.360	1 001	0.202
Patient education Primary Preparatory 8 25.000 ± 10.100 ± 10.100 2.173 0.078 Secondary Secondary 23 24.739 ± 11.744 ± 11.712 2.173 0.078 Marital state Widow Married Widow 48 28.729 ± 12.072 ± 12.072 1.228 0.298 Marriad State Married Divorced Married 19 23.526 ± 12.598 ± 12.598 1.327 0.270 Smoking Ex-Smoker 28 28.750 ± 9.995 ± 10.367 1.327 0.270 Molerate Moderate 40 29.925 ± 8.483 40.187 <0.001*	Pauent gender	Female	36	25.417	±	10.388	1.081	0.283
Patient education Secondary 23 24.739 ± 10.100 2.173 0.078		Illiterate	44	30.455	<u>±</u>	9.663		
Secondary 23 24.739 ± 11.744		Primary	8	25.000	±	12.972		
Marital state	Patient education	Preparatory	10	22.700	±	10.100	2.173	0.078
Marital state Widow Divorced Divorced 3		Secondary	23	24.739	±	11.744		
Marital state Married Divorced 46 Divorced 25.174 ± 9.799 ± 9.849 1.228 0.298 Smoking Current Ex-Smoker Ex-Smoker Non-Smoker Non-Smoker Sto Diabetes 28 28.750 ± 9.995 ± 10.867 1.327 0.270 Dementia Stage Mild Moderate Moderate Woderate Wodera		University	12	23.500	±	11.712		
Divorced 3 27.000 ± 9.849		Widow	48	28.729	±	12.072		
Smoking Current Ex-Smoker Ex-Smoker 28 28.750 ± 9.995 Non-Smoker 50 27.320 ± 10.867 1.327 Non-Smoker 50 27.320 ± 10.867 40.187 Non-Smoker 50.821 ± 7.939 Non-Smoker 50 29.925 ± 8.483 Non-Smoker 50 26.723 ± 11.150 Non-Smoker 50 26.723 ± 11.150 Non-Smoker 50 27.531 ± 10.910 Non-Smoker 50 27.531 ± 10.910 Non-Smoker 50 27.331 ± 10.910 Non-Smoker 50 27.361 ± 12.428 Non-Smoker 50 27.361 ± 12.359 Non-Smoker 50 27.427 ± 11.122 Non-Smoker 50 27.427 ± 11.322 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 ± 11.031 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 ± 11.031 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 ± 11.031 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 ± 11.031 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 ± 11.031 Non-Smoker 50 27.344 N	Marital state	Married	46	25.174	±	9.799	1.228	0.298
Smoking Ex-Smoker Non-Smoker 28 28.750 ± 9.995 1.327 0.270 Mon-Smoker 50 27.320 ± 10.867 1.327 0.270 Mild 42 19.452 ± 7.939 40.187 <0.001*		Divorced	3	27.000	±	9.849		
Non-Smoker 50 27.320 ± 10.867		Current	19	23.526	±	12.598		
Dementia Stage Mild Moderate 40 29.925 ± 8.483 40.187 40.187 40.001* Severe 15 40.267 ± 7.995 40.187 ± 7.995 Diabetes Yes 65 26.723 ± 11.150 10.910 -0.338 -0.336 No 32 27.531 ± 10.910 -0.338 -0.336 Hypertension Yes 78 27.179 ± 11.601 10.342 0.342 0.733 Stroke Yes 36 27.361 ± 12.428 10.204 0.254 0.800 ISHD Yes 22 25.500 ± 10.787 11.122 -0.719 0.474 Heart failure Yes 13 25.077 ± 12.359 10.596 10.596 -0.671 0.504 Renal disease Yes 7 22.429 ± 10.596 10.596 10.596 No 90 27.344 ± 11.031 10.596 10.596 -1.138 0.258 Hepatic disease Yes 7 29.143 ± 12.628 10.949 10.535 10.594 Caregiver gender Male 34 25.529 ± 10.949 10.535 10.594 Caregiver ducation Male 34 25.529 ± 10.930 10.500 10.	Smoking	Ex-Smoker	28	28.750	±	9.995	1.327	0.270
Dementia Stage Moderate Severe 40 29.925 ± 8.483 40.187 <0.001*		Non-Smoker	50	27.320	±	10.867		
Severe 15		Mild	42	19.452	±	7.939		
Diabetes Yes 65 26.723 ± 11.150 -0.338 0.736 Hypertension Yes 78 27.179 ± 11.601 0.342 0.733 Stroke Yes 36 27.361 ± 12.428 0.254 0.800 ISHD Yes 22 25.500 ± 10.204 0.254 0.800 ISHD Yes 22 25.500 ± 10.787 -0.719 0.474 Heart failure Yes 13 25.077 ± 12.359 -0.671 0.504 Renal disease Yes 7 22.429 ± 10.851 -0.671 0.504 Repatic disease Yes 7 29.143 ± 12.628 0.535 0.594 Caregiver gender Male 34 25.529 ± 10.930 -0.958 0.340 Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077 0.958 0.340 Caregiver education	Dementia Stage	Moderate	40	29.925	±	8.483	40.187	<0.001*
No 32 27.531 ± 10.910 -0.338 0.736		Severe	15	40.267	<u>±</u>			
No 32 27.331 ± 10.910	Diahotos	Yes	65	26.723	<u>±</u>	11.150	-0.338	0.736
No	Dianetes	No	32	27.531	±	10.910		0.730
No	Hypertension		78	27.179	±	11.601	0.342	0.733
No	Tryper tension	No	19	26.211	±		0.542	0.733
No	Stroko	Yes	36		<u>±</u>		0.254	0.800
No 75 27.427 ± 11.122 -0.719 0.474	SHUKE	No	61	26.770	<u>±</u>		0.234	0.000
Heart failure Yes 13 25.077 ± 11.122 No 84 27.286 ± 10.851 -0.671 0.504 Renal disease Yes 7 22.429 ± 10.596 -1.138 0.258 No 90 27.344 ± 11.031 -1.138 0.258 Hepatic disease Yes 7 29.143 ± 12.628 0.535 0.594 Caregiver gender Male 34 25.529 ± 10.930 -0.958 0.340 Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077 -0.958 0.340 Preparatory 1 41.000 ± 0.000 1.527 0.213 Caregiver ducation Family 84 26.988 ± 10.768 -0.004 0.997	ICHD	Yes	22	25.500	<u>±</u>	10.787	-0.719	0.474
No	19111				±	11.122	-0.717	0.474
No	Haart failura	Yes	13	25.077	±	12.359	-0.671	0.504
No 90 27.344 ± 11.031 -1.138 0.258	ilcart ramure	No			<u>±</u>	10.851	-0.071	0.504
No 90 27.344 ± 11.031	Renal disease	Yes	7	22.429	±	10.596	-1 138	0.258
No 90 26.822 ± 10.949 0.535 0.594	Kenai disease	No		27.344	±	11.031	-1.130	0.230
No 90 26.822 ± 10.949 Caregiver gender Male 34 25.529 ± 10.930 -0.958 0.340 Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077 -0.958 0.340 Preparatory 1 41.000 ± 0.000 1.527 0.213 Secondary 51 28.392 ± 10.702 1.527 0.213 Caregiver type Family 84 26.988 ± 10.768 -0.004 0.997	Hanatic disassa	Yes	7	29.143	±	12.628	0.535	0.504
Caregiver gender Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077 -0.958 0.340 Caregiver education Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077 -0.958 0.340 Preparatory 1 41.000 ± 0.000 1.527 0.213 Secondary 51 28.392 ± 10.702 1.527 0.213 Caregiver type Family 84 26.988 ± 10.768 -0.004 0.997	Ticpane disease	No	90	26.822	<u>±</u>	10.949	0.555	0.374
Female 63 27.778 ± 11.077	Caregiver gender	Male	34	25.529	±	10.930	0.059	0.240
Caregiver education Preparatory 1 41.000 ± 0.000 1.527 0.213 Secondary 51 28.392 ± 10.702 ± 11.079 0.213 University 42 24.714 ± 11.079 ± 10.768 Family 84 26.988 ± 10.768 ± 0.004 0.997		Female	63	27.778	<u>±</u>	11.077	-0.936	0.340
Secondary 51 28.392 ± 10.702 1.527 0.213	Caregiver education	Illiterate	3	30.333	±	13.317		
Secondary 51 28.392 ± 10.702		Preparatory	1	41.000	±	0.000	1 527	0.212
Caregiver type Family 84 26.988 ± 10.768 -0.004 0.997		Secondary	51	28.392	±	10.702	1.34/	0.213
Caregiver type $-$ -() (0)4 () 997		University	42	24.714	±	11.079		
Paid 13 27.000 \pm 13.032 -0.004 0.997	Caregiver type	Family	84	26.988	<u>±</u>	10.768	0.004	0.007
		Paid	13	27.000	±	13.032	-0.004	0.997

ZBI-A = Zarit Burden Interview-Arabic, ISHD=Ischemic heart disease

Table (5): Regression analysis model for risk factors associated with caregiver stress in the studied caregivers

	Unstandard. Coeff.		Standard. Coeff.	Т	P val.	
	В	Std. Err.	Beta	1	r vai.	
Patient age	0.098	0.118	0.066	0.836	0.405	
MMSE score	-0.686	0.341	-0.349	-2.012	0.047*	
Caregiver age	0.222	0.084	0.174	2.657	0.009*	
Frequency of delirium episodes	1.151	0.937	0.114	1.228	0.223	
Frequency of BPSD episodes	1.313	0.636	0.146	2.065	0.042*	
Frequency of home consultations	1.570	0.959	0.124	1.637	0.105	
Frequency of ER visits	1.514	1.009	0.127	1.501	0.137	
Frequency of hospital admissions	2.612	1.740	0.130	1.501	0.137	
Dementia Stage	0.285	2.751	0.019	0.104	0.918	
a. Dependent Variable: Zarit Burden Interview (total)						

ER= emergency room